

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,759		03/06/2002	Tetsunori Kaji	500.41316X00	7741	
20457	7590	08/13/2003				
		RY, STOUT & K TEENTH STREET	EXAMINER			
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTO			HASSANZADEH, PARVIZ			
ALLINGTO	IN, VA Z	.2209-9669		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1763		
				DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	60	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-\alpha$
,	_	10/090,759	KAJI ET AL.)
Office Action Sun	nmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Parviz Hassanzadeh	1763	
The MAILING DATE of th Period for Reply	is communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da - If the period for reply specified above is les - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended - Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF Status	the provisions of 37 CFR 1 te of this communication. It is sthan thirty (30) days, a remainment of the maximum statutory perioperiod for reply will, by statuthree months after the mail	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repolation in the statutory minimum of thirty dividing apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timents AS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on 06	March 2002		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		his action is non-final.		
		vance except for formal matter		
closed in accordance wit Disposition of Claims	h the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	e ments is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allow				
6) Claim(s) is/are reje	cted.			
7) Claim(s) is/are obje	cted to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to	restriction and/or e	election requirement		
Application Papers		an a		
9) The specification is objected	d to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∏ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request the	nat any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing corre	ection filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ disa	approved by the Examine	г.
If approved, corrected drawi	ngs are required in re	ply to this Office action.	·	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is of		kaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and				
13) Acknowledgment is made of	of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ N	None of:		.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
 Certified copies of th 	e priority document	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the	e priority document	s have been received in Appl	ication No.	
3. Copies of the certified	d copies of the prio	rity documents have been red	ceived in this National S	tage
14) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for domosti	or the certified copies not red	eived.	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a) ☐ The translation of the for	reign language are	o priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).
a) ☐ The translation of the fo 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for domesti	ivisional application has been ic priority under 35 H S C &&	received.	
Attachment(s)		,,	120 anu/01 121.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT	Review (PTO-948) O-1449) Paper No(s)		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). mal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Act	ion Summary	Date (CD	

Application/Control Number: 10/090,759

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 156, subclass 345.25.
- II. Claims 6-8, drawn to method, classified in class 438, subclass 710.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for monitoring the state of a plasma source rather than an end point of a processing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Species 1, Embodiment 1, Fig. 1;

Species 2, Embodiment 2, Fig. 2;

Species 3, Embodiment 3, Fig. 3;

Species 4, Embodiment 4, Fig. 4;

Species 5, Embodiment 5, Fig. 5;

Species 6, Embodiment 6, Fig. 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,759

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 6, 7 and 8 are also directed to species 7, 8, 9 corresponding to Figs. 7, 10 and 11, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Melvin Kraus on 8/7/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parviz Hassanzadeh whose telephone number is (703)308-2050. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,759

Art Unit: 1763

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703)308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9310 for regular communications and (703)872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

P. Hananjadl Parviz Hassanzadeh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763

August 7, 2003